

PROBLEM SET 4

Notes:

- This set contains 2 problems, with multiple parts to each problem.
- Please start each problem on a new page.
- Due date: December 6, 2005.

1 Microscopic Derivation of the Fokker-Planck Equation

Consider a system consisting of n large, *interacting* spherical Brownian particles of mass M with position \mathbf{R}_i and momentum \mathbf{P}_i immersed in a fluid of N spherical, light particles of mass m with positions $\mathbf{r}^{(N)}$ and momenta $\mathbf{p}^{(N)}$. Assume that the Hamiltonian of the system is of the form:

$$H = \frac{\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{P}}{2M} + V(\mathbf{R}) + \frac{\mathbf{p}^{(N)} \cdot \mathbf{p}^{(N)}}{2m} + U(\mathbf{r}^{(N)}) + \phi(\mathbf{r}^{(N)}, \mathbf{R}) = H_B + H_S + \phi(\mathbf{r}^{(N)}, \mathbf{R})$$

$$V(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j<i} V(|\mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{R}_j|) \quad \phi(\mathbf{r}^{(N)}, \mathbf{R}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^N \phi(|\mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|) \quad U(\mathbf{r}^{(N)}) = \sum_{i<j} U(|\mathbf{r}_{ij}|),$$

where $\mathbf{R} = \{\mathbf{R}_1, \dots, \mathbf{R}_n\}$ and $\mathbf{P} = \{\mathbf{P}_1, \dots, \mathbf{P}_n\}$. The goal of this exercise is to derive an equation of motion for the reduced distribution function

$$W(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, t) = \int d\mathbf{x}^{(N)} \rho(\mathbf{x}^{(N)}, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, t).$$

It is convenient to introduce the equilibrium distribution functions

$$\rho_e = \frac{e^{-\beta H}}{q}$$

$$W_e = \frac{e^{-\beta H_B} \int d\mathbf{x}^{(N)} e^{-\beta H_S} e^{-\beta \phi}}{q}$$

where $q = \int d\mathbf{R} d\mathbf{P} d\mathbf{x}^{(N)} e^{-\beta H_B} e^{-\beta H_S} e^{-\beta \phi}$. We also introduce the conditional distribution function for the bath degrees of freedom in the presence of fixed Brownian degrees of freedom:

$$\tilde{\rho} = \frac{\rho_e}{W_e}.$$

We also define the projection operator \mathcal{P} by $\mathcal{P}B = \tilde{\rho} \int d\mathbf{x}^{(N)} B$.

- Show that $\tilde{\rho} = \rho_s e^{-\beta \phi} e^{\beta \omega(\mathbf{R})}$, where $\rho_s(\mathbf{x}^{(N)})$ is the equilibrium probability density for the *isolated* bath. What is the physical interpretation of $\omega(\mathbf{R})$?

- b. Verify that \mathcal{P} is a projection operator.
- c. Defining $y(t) = \mathcal{P}\rho(t)$ and $z(t) = (1 - \mathcal{P})\rho(t)$, from the Liouville equation, show that the reduced non-equilibrium $W(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, t)$ obeys the exact equation;

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{W}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, t) &= \mathcal{L}_B W(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, t) + \int d\mathbf{x}^{(N)} \tilde{\rho} \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \phi \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{P}} W(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, t) \\ &\quad + \nabla_{\mathbf{P}} \cdot \int d\mathbf{x}^{(N)} \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \phi z(t), \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{L}_B is the Liouville operator for the isolated Brownian particles.

- d. Show that the formal solution of the time evolution of $z(t)$ can be written as:

$$z(t) = e^{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{L}t} z(0) + \int_0^t d\tau e^{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{L}\tau} \mathcal{Q}\mathcal{L}y(t - \tau),$$

where $\mathcal{Q} = 1 - \mathcal{P}$.

- e. Using the results from parts c. and d., show that W obeys the exact equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{W}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, t) &= \left(-\frac{\mathbf{P}}{M} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} + \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} (V + \omega) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{P}} \right) W(t) \\ &\quad + \nabla_{\mathbf{P}} \cdot \int_0^t d\tau \int d\mathbf{x}^{(N)} \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \phi e^{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{L}\tau} \tilde{\rho} \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} (\phi - \omega) \cdot \left(\nabla_{\mathbf{P}} + \frac{\beta \mathbf{P}}{M} \right) W(t - \tau) \\ &\quad + \nabla_{\mathbf{P}} \cdot \int d\mathbf{x}^{(N)} \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \phi e^{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{L}t} z(0). \end{aligned}$$

- f. Defining the mass ratio $\epsilon = \sqrt{m/M}$ and introducing the scaled momenta coordinates $\mathbf{P}^* = \epsilon \mathbf{P}$, show that for times longer than the bath relaxation time τ_s and to second order in powers of ϵ , we obtain the Fokker-Planck equation for the Brownian degrees of freedom:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{W}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, t) &= \left[\epsilon \left(-\frac{\mathbf{P}^*}{m} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} + \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} (V + \omega) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{P}^*} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \epsilon^2 \nabla_{\mathbf{P}^*} \cdot \Gamma(\mathbf{R}) \cdot \left(\nabla_{\mathbf{P}^*} + \frac{\beta \mathbf{P}^*}{m} \right) \right] W(t) + O(\epsilon^3), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{R}) = \int_0^\infty d\tau \left\langle \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} (\phi - \omega) \left(e^{(\mathcal{L}_s + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}^N} \phi \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}^N}) \tau} \right) \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} (\phi - \omega) \right\rangle.$$

How does this result differ from the Fokker-Planck equation for a single Brownian particle presented in class?

2 The Hydrodynamic Equations

Consider the general hydrodynamic matrix derived in class:

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{k}, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & ik & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -ak^2 & \frac{ikT}{n^2c_v} \left(\frac{\partial P_h}{\partial T} \right)_n & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{ik}{m} \left(\frac{\partial P_h}{\partial n} \right)_T & \frac{ik}{m} \left(\frac{\partial P_h}{\partial T} \right)_n & -bk^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\nu k^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\nu k^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

that gives the equations of motion for the linearized hydrodynamic densities

$$\tilde{A}(\mathbf{k}, z) = [z\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{k}, z)]^{-1} \cdot A(\mathbf{k}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}(\mathbf{k}, z) &= \{\tilde{n}(\mathbf{k}, z), \tilde{T}(\mathbf{k}, z), \tilde{\mathbf{j}}^z(\mathbf{k}, z), \tilde{\mathbf{j}}^x(\mathbf{k}, z), \tilde{\mathbf{j}}^y(\mathbf{k}, z)\} \\ A(\mathbf{k}) &= \{n(\mathbf{k}), T(\mathbf{k}), \mathbf{j}^z(\mathbf{k}), \mathbf{j}^x(\mathbf{k}), \mathbf{j}^y(\mathbf{k})\}, \end{aligned}$$

- a. By expanding $z_i = z_i^{(0)} + z_i^{(1)}k + z_i^{(2)}k^2 + \dots$ in powers of k and solving for the coefficients $z_i^{(j)}$ order by order, show that the roots of the determinant $\det|z\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{k}, z)|$, to second order in k , are

$$z_0 = -\Gamma_T k^2 \quad z_{\pm} = \pm c_0 k - \Gamma_s k^2$$

with

$$\Gamma_T = \frac{a}{\gamma} = \frac{\lambda}{nc_p} \quad \Gamma_s = \frac{1}{2} [a(\gamma - 1)/\gamma + b] \quad \gamma = c_p/c_v.$$

- b. Using the minor formula,

$$[z\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{k}, z)]_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} = \frac{(-1)^{\alpha+\beta} \mathcal{M}_{\beta\alpha}}{\det|z\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{k}, z)|},$$

derive an expression for the time dependence of $n(\mathbf{k}, t)$, $T(\mathbf{k}, t)$ and $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{k}, t)$.